Hello everyone,

Here is the agenda for our conference call scheduled for **Wednesday 3/11 at 10am**:

**Deployed/Complete:**
- Email link from Meeting Agenda - although we need language update
- Level 3 on issue details screen
- Notes section for Attendance
- "Curated Department List" - 680 departments have been reviewed and updated by Thao (Thank you), and 76 Senate-relevant departments remain.

**Bug Fixes:**
- Upload agenda (in firefox) error - bug on server-side corrected
- Access to "CEP Approval of BS in Data Science" - permission issue corrected
- Overall Speed improvements for Search - other speed improvements in progress

**Awaiting Response/Decision:**
- "Does Not Wish to Opine" template/language needed
- Language to auto populate the mailto link, from the "Meetings" section, is needed
- Grants Application format Finalized - awaiting release for Single/Multi Investigator Grant (currently hidden)
- UCI Medal Award - nomination postponed, per Charlene. awaiting release
- Mentorship Award finalized. awaiting release

**Outstanding from previous meetings, for discussion:**
- "Meeting Schedule" page - can be provided on the DMS page (to eliminate the duplicate effort), and linked from the "senate.ucr.edu" site to the "Schedule" tab in DMS.
- "Senate Chair Overview Page" vs "Executive Director Issue Management Page" - whether or not access is needed for the Senate Chair for the latter. Extended access for Senate Chair to be able to view issues at each council level?
- Timeout issue for analyst (every 2-3 minutes) - unable to replicate

**Discussion List**
- **Proposed change to QA site authorization** - to be based on Production site.
- **"Select All" documents function to hide** - Charlene Requested
- **Meeting Cancellation Handling**
- **Senate Assembly "Agenda" and "Approved Minutes" - should they be publicly accessible?**
- **Senate Analyst Committee Assignment - Administration Tool - added to feature request list**
- **Issue Owner.** Issues from systemwide should be "owned" by the "Academic Senate" level. An issue should be in the system only ONCE, and distributed to councils. It should not be replicated at each council level. The final response (to systemwide) should be loaded into DMS as the "Final Response" to the issue, at the "Academic Senate" level.
- **Role of the "Senate Cabinet" within DMS**
  - In general, the Cabinet should not "own" any issues. The "Cabinet" committee should be assigned an issue as informational, so that they can have access to all the other council responses. The
Cabinet should not respond to any issues, since they don't own any issues.

- Issue assignment to the committee is not based on "hierarchy", but rather is based on "ownership". If the final authority for an issue rests with Graduate Council, then GC is the owner, and GC can assign the issue to its sibling councils, e.g. CPB (and Cabinet), for input.


**Questions/Feedback from the Analysts**

=================================================================================

Our ReadyTalk info is available on our website here: https://aait.ucsb.edu/readytalk
(also included below)

Visit: http://uc.readytalk.com
Access Code: 8932495

Call: 866.740.1260
Access Code: 8932495
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